

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,723	12/06/2001	Mark G. Allen	BVTP-P04-506	4309	
7	590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER		
AGNES S. LI ROPES & GRA			THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, KATHRYN L	
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\eta = - \eta$			
Office Action Summary		10/010,723	ALLEN ET AL.	4			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kathryn L. Thompson	3763				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	S			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communities SED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	ay 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 49-72 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 49-72 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers	·					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/14/03, 04/17/03</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/010,723

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 49, 54-66, 70, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT WO 97/03718. Eicher et al teach a device for transporting a material across a biological barrier, the device comprising one or more microneedles having at least one substantially annular channel therethrough and having a length between about 1μm and 1mm and a diameter between about 1μm and 100μm and a substrate to which the one or more microneedles is attached wherein the substrate and/or the microneedles are formed from flexible materials to allow the device to fit the contour of the biological barrier (Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,723

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eicher et al. Eicher et al does not disclose expressly that the diameter of the one or more microneedles is between about 10 μm and 30 μm, 20 μm and 50 μm, 30 μm and 100 μm, and wherein the diameter of the substantially annular channel is between about 3 μm and 80 μm. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the measurements of the aforementioned diameters because Applicant has not disclosed that making microneedles with these specific measurements provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well.

Claims 67 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eicher et al in view of Gerstel et al (US 3,964,482). Eicher et al teaches all of the claimed limitations except the one or more microneedles including a non-biodegradeable polymer selected from polycarbonate, polymethacrylic acidm ethylenevinyl acetate, polytetrafluorethylene, and polyesters. Gerstel et al teach the one or more microneedles including a non-biodegradeable polymer selected from polycarbonate, polymethacrylic acidm ethylenevinyl acetate, polytetrafluorethylene, and polyesters. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Gerstel et al to modify the invention of Eicher et al and include non-biodegradeable polymers as a material that the microneedles can be made of since non-biodegradeable polymers are notoriously well known in the art as possible materials from which medical devices can be fabricated.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eicher et al in view of Godshall et al (US 5,879,326). Eicher et al teaches all of the claimed limitations except wherein the one or more microneedles are formed by a micromachining technique. Godshall et al teach wherein the one or more microneedles are formed by a micromachining technique (Column 3, Lines 44-48). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Godshall et al to modify the invention of Eicher et al to include that the microneedles are formed by a micromachining technique since micromachining is notoriously well known in the art as a method of fabrication for microneedles.

Claim 72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eicher et al in view of Godshall et al. Eicher et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except a transport control mechanism for generating an ultrasonic force gradient for causing the material to move across a biological barrier. Godshall et al does disclose a transport control mechanism for generating an ultrasonic force gradient for causing the material to move across a biological barrier (Column 2, Lines 7-16). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Godshall et al to modify the invention of Eicher et al since according to Godshall et al the use of a transport control mechanism that generates an ultrasonic force gradient is used to increase the migration of the drug across the skin barrier and improve absorption.

Applicant's arguments filed on May 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that Eicher et al (US 6,132,755) does not disclose that the substrate and/or microneedles are formed from flexible materials. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Eicher et al does in fact disclose that the substrate and/or microneedles are formed from flexible materials (Column 4, Lines 12-30). Also, Applicant states that a supplemental IDS was submitted with Applicant's response of May 19, 2004. Examiner would like to note that no additional IDS was submitted with Applicant's response of May 19, 2004, and thus there was no additional IDS considered.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L. Thompson whose telephone number is 703-305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,723

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLT

KHA

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700